

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
12 AT SEATTLE  
13  
14

15 SEBASTIAN LUBERS,  
16  
17 Petitioner,

v.

18 UNITED STATES,  
19  
20 Respondent.

CASE NO. C12-1340 RAJ  
21  
22 ORDER  
23  
24

25 The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable  
26 Richard A. Jones:

27 The court has reviewed petitioner Sebastian Lubers's "Response to Order issued  
28 Sep. 5, 2012." Dkt. # 12. On September 5, 2012, the court denied petitioner's motion to  
29 seal because he had not identified what information he believed the court should redact.  
30 Dkt. # 8. The court allowed him until September 14, 2012 to file a motion to seal that  
31 specifically identified which portions of the petition should be redacted and specifically  
32 explained why such portions would put Mr. Lubers in danger. It appears that petitioner's  
33 response was mailed on September 12, 2012, but the court did not receive it until  
34 September 20, 2012. The court construes petitioner's response as a motion for  
35 reconsideration. The court orders the clerk to SEAL Dkt. # 1 pending further  
36 reconsideration. The court orders the clerk to SEAL Dkt. # 1 pending further  
37 reconsideration.

1 consideration. If the government wishes to respond to the motion for reconsideration, it  
2 may do so no later than October 12, 2012. The clerk is ORDERED to mail a copy of  
3 Dkt. # 1 to petitioner along with a copy of this order. Petitioner is ORDERED to redact,  
4 by blacking out or using another method, the portion of his petition that he believes  
5 places him, his family and his friends in danger. Petitioner is ORDERED to return the  
6 redacted petition no later than October 31, 2012.

7 Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2012.

8  
9 WILLIAM M. MCCOOL  
Clerk

10  
11 s/Consuelo Ledesma  
Deputy Clerk

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27